

# Light scattering by cubical particle in the WKB approximation

R. Lamsoudi\* , S.E. Elbahar ; M. Ibn Chaikh

*Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Département de Physique,*

*Université Chouaïb Doukkali, Faculté des Sciences, B.P 20, 24000 El Jadida, Morocco*

*\* Corresponding author. E-mail : lamsoudi@gmail.com*

## Abstract

In this work, we determine the analytical expressions of the form factor of a cubical particle in the WKB approximation. We adapted some variables (size parameter, refractive index, the scattering angle) we find the form factor in the approximation of Rayleigh-Gans-Debye (RGD), anomalous diffraction (AD), and determine the efficiency factor of the extinction. To illustrate our formalism, we analyze some numerical examples.

*Key words: scattering, form factor, cubical particle , WKB approximation.*

## 1- Introduction

Electromagnetic scattering is the effect caused by EM waves hitting an object. The waves will then be scattered and the scattered field contains useful information about that object. Electromagnetic scattering happens in many situations, for example, sun light scattered by atmosphere, radio waves scattered by buildings or planes, and so on[1]. The study of EM wave scattering is of great interest and importance since it helps advance many different fields ranging from Medical Technology to Computer Engineering, Geophysics, Photonics, and Military Technology [2]

Solar radiation, penetrating the atmosphere, can interact with gases in the atmosphere, clouds, aerosols and soil. They can be absorbed or scattered back to space. Analysis of light scattering process by atmospheric particles based on Mie theory does not allow the clear physical interpretation because aerosols have various shapes (spherical, stars, rings, hexagonal, cubic ...) according to their forming conditions (temperature, humidity, supersaturation, ...)[3]. Mie theory, published in 1908, He obtained a general rigorous solution, on basis of the electromagnetic theory, for the optical scattering by a homogeneous sphere with arbitrary size in a homogeneous medium, whatever the composition of the sphere and medium[4]. The extension to other forms of particles less ideal is revealed to be a difficult problem.

The methods of the analysis of the light scattering process by the nonspherical particles are generally based on solving Maxwell's equations either numerically or analytically. examples of the numerical approach include the invariant embedding T-matrix approach[5], the digitized Green's-function method (DFG)[6], and an improved version of the extended

boundary condition method (EBCM)[7]. These methods are flexible and general techniques for calculating the scattering and absorption characteristics of arbitrarily shaped particles but it requires rather large computer storage

Solutions to the exact integral expression of scattering amplitude function are difficult. The function depends on the local field inside the particle and its permittivity. The local field is generally unknown. Therefore, certain approximations like Rayleigh, Born, Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin etc. are usually required to overcome the difficulty and avoid laborious computation.[8]

In the WKB approximation, the internal field is equal to the incident field modulated by a phase delay factor which corresponds to an additional phase shift of the wave that propagates inside the particle. Therefore, the WKB approximation is a refinement of the Rayleigh-Debye-Gans approximation [9]. Because of the complexity of the general scattering theory for nonspherical particles, the possibility of applying the WKB approximation to modeling the scattering of light by cubical objects is worth investigating. This approach is applied on spheres, cylinders and spheroid [10-11-12].

This work is devoted to a theoretical study of scattering of light by cubical particle, in the WKB approximation. Within the framework of the scattering theory, we investigate the form factor for this approximation which represents the modification of the scattered irradiance due to the finite size of the particle and to its deviation from sphericity, this factor allows us to determine amplitude of light scattering. The content of the article is the following. The second Section we derive a general analytical expression for the form factor expression for a cubical particle. Section three, by varying some particle parameters, RGD, AD is deduced from our general formula, in the last section we determining the extinction coefficient. By using this formalism, numerical calculations are performed to illustrate the comportment of the form factor.

## 2- form factor in WKB approximation

We present a general approach to calculate the form factor of structured particles. Suppose that the particle falls flat electromagnetic wave. We are using the integral representation of the form factor in the WKB approximation in a scalar form [12]

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = \iiint_V \exp(ik \cdot \vec{r} \cdot (\vec{i} - \vec{o})) \exp(ikW) dv' , \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{o}$  and  $\vec{i}$  are the unit vectors along the directions of scattering and propagation of light, respectively,  $\vec{r}$  is the radius vector of a point inside the particle,  $k$  is the free-space wave number,  $\theta$  his the scattering angle, i.e., the angle between  $\vec{i}$  and  $\vec{o}$ ,  $\varphi$  the azimuthally angle,  $v'$  is the volume of the scattered, and  $W$  is the optical path which is introduced by the scattering object is expanded in the form

$$W = \int_{z_e}^z [m(Z') - 1] dz' = m(Z - Z_e) , \quad (2)$$

where,  $z_e$  z-coordinates of the initial position of penetration of the object.

In the following we investigate if the above method can be applied to the absorbing cubical particles, in order to estimate the intensity distribution of light scattered by the scattered.

We consider a Cartesian coordinate system, orthonormal  $R(X, Y, Z)$  the origin coincides with the center of cube, we assume that an electromagnetic wave is incident in the plane  $YOZ$  on cube a homogeneous with an edge length  $a$  and  $m$  refractive index, the cube is positioned in such a way that two facets are illuminated by the incident light. They are aligned symmetrically with respect to the direction of incidence, which is perpendicular to the edge closest to the light source (the acute angle between the incident beam and each cube face is  $\pi/4$ ), the  $Z$ -axis parallel to the incident ray.

In rectangular coordinates the form factor can be written as

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \exp i k (-x \sin \theta \cos \varphi - y \sin \theta \sin \varphi) \exp i k z (m - \cos \theta) \exp - i k z_e (m - 1) d\mathcal{V} \quad (3)$$

$x, y$  and  $z$  are the components of the position of the scattering element inside the object.

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = A(\theta, \varphi) \int_L \text{ampl}(z_e(y), \theta) e^{-i k y \sin \theta \sin \varphi} dy \quad , \quad (4)$$

with  $L$  is the length of the particle on the  $Y$ -axis,

$$\text{ampl}(z_e(y), \theta) = \exp - i k z_e(y) (2m - 1 - \cos \theta) - \exp - i k z_e(y) (1 - \cos \theta), \quad (5)$$

$$A(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{i k (m - \cos \theta)} \frac{\sin(d)}{d} \quad , \quad (6)$$

and 
$$d = \frac{k l}{2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \quad . \quad (7)$$

$L$ 'Eq(4) is obtained after integrating over  $x$  from  $-\frac{a}{2}$  to  $\frac{a}{2}$ , and over  $z$  from  $z_e(y)$  to  $-z_e(y)$ , where  $z_e(y), -z_e(y)$  is the  $z$ -coordinates of the intersection of incident ray and the body surface.

We decompose the cube into two regions Fig.(1-a), each region in turn divided into longitudinal slices thickness  $dy$  and width  $\Delta z_j$  Fig.(1-b), the form factor can be written as :

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = A(\theta, \varphi) \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{y_{\min_j}}^{y_{\max_j}} \text{ampl}_j(z_{ej}(y), \theta) e^{-i k y \sin \theta \sin \varphi} dy \quad , \quad (8)$$

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^2 F_j(\theta, \varphi) \quad , \quad (9)$$

where  $y_{\min_j}, y_{\max_j}$  are the limits of the integral according to each region. Now just search expression  $z_{ej}(y)$  in terms of  $y$  for each region  $j = 1, 2$ .




Fig. 1. Decomposition of the cubical particle

For region (1) defined by

$$-\sqrt{2}a \leq y \leq 0 \quad . \quad (10)$$

In this region the z-coordinates of the intersection of a line parallel to  $\mathbf{k}$  and the body surface is

$$z_{e1} = -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} - y \quad , \quad (11)$$

After some algebraic manipulations, we obtain,

$$F_1(\theta, \varphi) = -i \frac{a^3}{2u} \frac{\text{sind}}{d} \left( e^{ig} \frac{e^{-i(g-t)} - 1}{-i(g-t)} - e^{iq} \frac{e^{-i(q-t)} - 1}{-i(q-t)} \right) , \quad (12)$$

where

$$d = \frac{ka}{2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi , \quad (13)$$

$$t = \frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi , \quad (14)$$

$$g = u + \frac{\rho}{2} , \quad (15)$$

$$q = -(u - \frac{\rho}{2}) , \quad (16)$$

$$\rho = \sqrt{2}ka(m-1) , \quad (17)$$

and

$$u = \frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} (m - \cos \theta) . \quad (18)$$

For region (2) defined by

$$0 \leq y \leq \sqrt{2}a . \quad (19)$$

In this region the z-coordinates is

$$z_{e2} = -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} + y , \quad (20)$$

we obtain

$$F_2(\theta, \varphi) = -i \frac{a^3}{2u} \frac{\text{sind}}{d} \left( e^{ig} \frac{e^{-i(g+t)} - 1}{-i(g+t)} - e^{iq} \frac{e^{-i(q+t)} - 1}{-i(q+t)} \right) . \quad (21)$$

We can see that, if we change  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi \pm \pi$  i.e ( $t \leftrightarrow -t$ ) we have

$$F_1(\theta, \varphi) = F_2(\theta, \varphi \pm \pi) .$$

We can interpret this remark as contribution Region (2) or form factor at a point  $H(R, \theta, \varphi)$  and the same as the contribution of region (1) at a point  $G(R, \theta, \varphi + \pi)$  Because of the symmetry of the hexagonal particles, where  $R$  is the distance from the observation point to the scattering object along the direction of the unit vector  $\vec{\sigma}$ .

The form factor calculated is valid for any value of the phase delay of the wave penetrating through the center of the homogenous absorbing cubical particle. For illustration, we show in Figs.2. the behavior of the form factor as a function of the scattering angle  $\theta$ . This Figures shows that the form factor exhibits some lobes with intensity decreasing with scattering angle, we find that the backscatter ( $\theta > 90$ ) is almost unnoticeable compared to the diffusion front which makes it around  $10^4$  times.




Fig.2. Normalized form factor as a function of scattering angle  $\theta$  for absorbing cubical particle at a  $m=1.25+0.01.i$  for 3 values of  $\phi$ , (a):  $\frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} = 10$ ; (b):  $\frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} = 6$ .

### 3- Special cases.

As the WKB approximation is applicable for a wide range of  $\rho$ , we can consider it the most general approach which includes the RGD and AD approximations, this approximations are applied for optically soft light scattering particles  $|m - 1| \ll 1$  [13-14]. So, from form factor in WKB which is the general formula, we can deduce the above approximations.

#### 3-1- RGD approximation.

In the limit of a small refractive index, the WKB approximation reduces to RGD approximation. For this approximation, we assume that  $\rho \ll 1$ , the imaginary part of the form factor is null, we can write

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{a^3}{u} \frac{\text{sin}d}{d} \frac{\text{COST} - \text{COS}u}{u^2 - t^2} \quad (22)$$

To illustrate our analytical results, we represent in Fig.3. the behavior of the normalized form factor as a function of the scattering angle  $\theta$  in the case of non absorbing cubical particle. The parameters used in the calculation are: with  $m=1.01$  and  $\frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} = 6$ , for 3 values of  $\varphi$ .




Fig.3. Normalized form factor in RGD approximation as a function of scattering angle  $\theta$  for cubical particle.

### 3-2- Anomalous diffraction approximation.

Such an approximation is valid if  $\alpha = kd \gg 1$ ,  $\alpha$  size parameter,  $k$  is the free-space wave number,  $d$  is a geometrical path of a given ray through the considered nonspherical particle, and  $|m - 1| \ll 1$ . This implies that the rays are not deviated when they cross the interface particle-medium and that the reflection at this interface is negligible. We consider in this case intermediary values of  $\rho$  and an angle  $\theta \ll 1$ .

We have,

$$u \approx \frac{\rho}{2} \quad (23)$$

$$t \approx \frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} \theta \text{sin}\varphi \quad (24)$$

$$d \approx ka\theta \text{cos}\varphi \quad (25)$$

The expressions of the form factor are reduced to

$$F(\theta, \varphi) = -i \frac{a^3}{\rho} \frac{\text{sin}d}{d} \left( e^{i\rho/2} \left( e^{-i t/2} \frac{\text{sin}\left(\frac{\rho+t}{2}\right)}{\frac{\rho+t}{2}} + e^{i t/2} \frac{\text{sin}\left(\frac{\rho-t}{2}\right)}{\frac{\rho-t}{2}} \right) - 2 \frac{\text{sin}t}{t} \right) \quad (26)$$

For illustration, we present in Fig. 4, the normalized form factor as a function of the scattering angle  $\theta$  for three values of  $\varphi$ . We have respected the condition imposed on the scattering angle, size parameter and refractive indece of the scattering object with  $m = 1.1 + 0.01i$ , and  $\frac{ka}{\sqrt{2}} = 25$ .




Fig.4. Normalized form factor as a function of scattering angle  $\theta$  for cubical particle, for 3 values of  $\varphi$

#### 4- extinction efficiency

The extinction efficiency  $Q_{\text{ext}}$  is defined as the extinction cross section per unit of projected area of the particle on the plane perpendicular to the direction of the incoming beam. The extinction coefficient is expressed in terms of form factor [12-15-16]

$$Q_{\text{ext}} = \frac{k^2 \text{Im}(|(m-1)F(0,0)|)}{p} \quad (27)$$

$P$  with air projected from the cube. The extinction efficiency of this case can be written as

$$Q_{\text{ext}} = -2\text{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i2\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}(m-1)} - 1}{i2\pi \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}(m-1)} - 1 \right) \quad (28)$$

Figure (5) show the extinction efficiencies of an cubicle particle as a function of the  $X = \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}$ , this parameter allows to compare the wavelength with the largest possible ray path within the considered particle, the imaginary part of refractive index is 0.01.




Fig.5. Evolution of the extinction efficiency as a function of  $X = \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}$  parameter, for three different index of refraction.

When the largest path is of the order of the wavelength, the extinction increases rapidly to a peak of maximum efficiency. Then the efficiency decreases and oscillates around the value 2.

When the real part increases, the amplitude of the maximum peak efficiency increases and shifts to the small particle. Furthermore an increase in the number of oscillations is observed

The figure(6) shows Influence of absorption of the particle. The main influence of an increase in the absorption of the particle  $m_i$ , on the extinction coefficient is to damp the oscillations, in particular the amplitude of the maximum peak efficiency.




Fig.5. Evolution of the extinction efficiency as a function of  $X = \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}$  parameter, for three different index of refraction.

When studying in detail the evolution of the effectiveness of extinction, we note that extinction does not always increase when the absorption  $m_i$  of the particle increases. For example, in the case of small radii compared to the wavelength, the extinction increases as  $m_i$  increases. It is noted, however, a fixed point, where extinction is independent of the value of the imaginary part of refractive index.

For real refractive index, the efficiency factor of the extinction becomes

$$Q_{\text{ext}} = 2 \left( 1 - \frac{\sin\left(2\pi\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}(m-1)\right)}{2\pi\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\lambda}(m-1)} \right) \quad (29)$$

This formula is consistent with the results found in the literature [17]

## 5- Conclusion

In this article, we determined the form factor of a cubical particle in the WKB approximation, we limit the study to a particular case to illustrate the importance of this approximation. The technique of geometric decomposition of the particle to slices may also be useful to determine the form factor of the particles having an axis of symmetry for example hexagonal or parallelepiped. As a result of application of this technique formula for the form factor of light scattering by a cubical particle in the WKB approximation were obtained. We have obtain other approximations covered by the WKB approach. Indeed, by varying some particle parameters, the Rayleigh–Gans–Debye theory and the anomalous diffraction are deduced as particular cases. To illustrate the compartment of the form factor, some practical illustrations are analyzed in this work by using our analytical formulations.

## References:

- [1] TRAN, Nhan. Numerical Solution of Many-body Electromagnetic Scattering Problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.5409, 2014.
- [2] L. Tsang, J. A. Kong, and K.-H. Ding, Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves, Theories and Applications. John Wiley & Sons, 2004, vol. 27.
- [3] Nakaya U., T. Terada, Simultaneous observation of the mass, falling velocity and form of individual snow crystals, J.Fac.Soc.HokkaidoImp.Univ, 1935, Ser. II, 1, 191-201
- [4] Mie, G., Beiträge zur optik trüber medien, speziell kolloidaler metallösungen. Ann. Phys. 1908, 330, 377- 445.
- [5] B. R. Johnson, "Invariant imbedding T matrix approach to electromagnetic scattering," Appl. Opt. 27, 4861-4873 (1988).
- [6] G. H. Goedecke , S. G. O'Brien, "Scattering by irregular inhomogeneous particles via the digitized Green's function algorithm," Appl. Opt. 27, 2431-2438 (1988).
- [7] M. F. Iskander, A. Lakhtakia, C. H. Durney, "A new procedure for improving the solution stability and extending the frequency range of the EBCM," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. AP-31, 317-324 (1983).
- [8] A.W. Musa, S. O. Bashir, prediction of cross polarization discrimination at millimeter wave band due to dust storms, ARPN J. of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 8, NO. 7, 2013
- [9] Frederic G. Fast calculation of the light differential scattering cross section of optically soft and Convex Bodies. Optics Communications, 313, (2014),394-400.

- [10] A. Belafhal, M. Ibnchaikh, K. Nassim, "Scattering Amplitude of Absorbing and Nonabsorbing Spheroidal Particles in the WKB approximation", *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, 72 (2002) 385-402
- [11] J.D. Klett, R.A. Sutherland, Approximate methods for modelling the scattering properties of nonspherical particles: evaluation of the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin method, *Applied Optics* 31 (1992) 373-386.
- [12] Shepelevich NV, Prostakova IV, Lopatin VN. extrema in the light scattering indicatrix of a homogeneous spheroid *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, 63(1999) 353–367.
- [13] C.F. Bohren and D.R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1983.
- [14] K.A. Shapovalov, "Light scattering by particles of toroidal shape in the Rayleigh-Gans-Debye approximation," *Opt. Spectrosc.*, vol. 110, No.5, pp. 806-810, 2011.
- [15] van de Hulst HC. *Light scattering by small particles*. New York. Wiley. 1957.
- [16] Melina.P.I, Dimitra I. B, Dimitris P. Scattering of radiowaves by melting ice particles: an eccentric spheres model, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, 60 (1998) 585-567.
- [17] P. Chylek ,J. D. Klett Extinction cross sections of nonspherical particles in the anomalous diffraction approximation , *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*/Vol. 8, No. 2 (1991) 274-281

